



Docket No.: 1572.1100

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

Ju-hwan KIM et al.

Serial No. 10/671,863

Group Art Unit: 3632

Confirmation No. 5397

Filed: September 29, 2003

Examiner: Amy Jo STERLING

For: DISPLAY APPARATUS

**COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed January 11, 2008.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

In the "Reasons for Allowance," the Examiner characterizes certain features of identified claims which the Examiner states that the prior art "does not disclose." It is submitted that the Examiner's statement is not an accurate quote with respect to each of the allowed claims. It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterization of same. It is submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance. By way of example and not limitation, claim 1 does

not include the language "four accommodating parts" referenced in the statement.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: April 8, 2008

By: 

Paul F. Daebeler  
Registration No. 35,852

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501